

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/767,215	01/22/2001	John Bertin	07334-142001/ MPI2000-003	3061
7:	590 07/12/2002			
ANITA L. MEIKLEJOHN, PH.D. FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 225 Franklin Street			EXAMINER	
			BRUMBACK, BRENDA G	
Boston, MA 02110-2804			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1642	
			DATE MAILED: 07/12/2002	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/767,215	BERTIN, JOHN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Brenda G. Brumback	1642				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply						
A,SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 A						
, _	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		•				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 7.	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1642

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-8, in Paper No. 9 is acknowledged.

Claims 1-20 are pending. Claims 9-20 are withdrawn from consideration as directed to a nonelected invention. Claims 1-8 are examined on the merits.

Information Disclosure Statement

The Information Disclosure Statement filed 10/01/2001 has been considered. A signed copy of the PTO-1449 form is attached hereto.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informality: The disclosure references ATCC deposits throughout; however, the deposit numbers are missing where they are referenced. See for examples, pages 8 and 29-32. Applicant should carefully review the entire specification and amend the deposit numbers at each reference or make other appropriate correction.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

whoraum Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific asserted utility or a well established utility.

According to the text of 35 USC sec. 101, an invention must be "useful". Our reviewing courts have applied the labels, "specific utility" (or "practical utility") to refer to this aspect of the "useful invention" requirement of sec. 101. (Nelson v. Bowler, 626 F.2d 853, 206 USPQ 881, 883 (CCPA 1980)). In Nelson, the court characterized "specific utility" (or "practical utility") as "a shorthand way of

Art Unit: 1642

attributing real-world value to claimed subject matter. In other words, one skilled in the art can use a claimed discovery in a manner which provides some immediate benefit to the public." (Id. at 856.)

The claimed polypeptides are not supported by a specific asserted utility and do not, without further research and experimentation, provide an immediate benefit to the public. While the specification asserts that the claimed polypeptides (CARD-14) are useful as modulating agents in regulating a variety of cellular processes including cell growth and cell death (see the specification at page 4, lines 35-36) and in treating diseases associated with apoptosis, any actual or practical benefit to the public (to one of ordinary skill in the art) is speculative. The specification discloses a plethora of diseases associated with an undesirably low or high rate of apoptosis, as well as numerous inflammatory diseases, which theoretically could be modulated by CARD-14 (see page 5, line 12, through page 6, line 32). The asserted utility of treating disease therefore lacks specificity. There is no basis in the specification upon which to conclude that *any* of the polypeptides encompassed by the claims are, or will turn out to be, therapeutic for any disease after testing.

This is not to say that inventions that are to be used exclusively in a research setting (i.e., research tools) always lack a specific asserted utility. Indeed, many research tools such as telescopes, gas chromatographs, screening assays, and nucleotide sequencing techniques have a clear, specific and unquestionable utility. (See USPTO Utility Guidelines, page 12.) However, inventions that have a specifically identified utility must be distinguished from those whose utility requires further research to identify or reasonably confirm. (Id.) Research tools (such as gas chromatographs, screening assays, etc.) are useful in the sense that they can be used in conjunction with other method steps to evaluate materials other than themselves or to arrive at some result. The claimed polypeptides are not research tools in this sense. Rather, they are themselves the subject of basic research, whose usefulness or lack thereof has yet to be established. (Id. at page 44, example A.)

Art Unit: 1642

Claims 1-8 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention.

Ju de 3-8 21-28 / Written des aytes, not senablent Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112 states, "The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same...". The courts have interpreted this to mean that the specification must enable one skilled in the art to make and use the invention without undue experimentation. The courts have further interpreted undue experimentation as requiring "ingenuity beyond that to be expected of one of ordinary skill in the art" (Fields v. Conover, 170 USPQ 276 (CCPA 1971)) or requiring an extended period of experimentation in the absence of sufficient direction or guidance (In re Colianni, 195 USPQ 150 (CCPA 1977)). Additionally, the courts have determined that "... where a statement is, on its face, contrary to generally accepted scientific principles", a rejection for failure to teach how to make and/or use is proper (In re Marzocchi, 169 USPQ 367 (CCPA 1971). Factors to be considered in determining whether a disclosure meets the enablement requirement of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, have been described in In re Colianni, 195 USPQ 150, 153 (CCPA 1977), have been clarified by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in Ex parte Forman, 230 USPQ 546 (BPAI 1986), and are summarized in In re Wands (858 F2d 731, 737, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (Fed Cir. 1988). Among the factors are the nature of the invention, the state of the prior art, the predictability or lack thereof in the art,

Art Unit: 1642

the amount of direction or guidance present, the presence or absence of working examples, the breadth of the claims, and the quantity of experimentation needed. The instant disclosure fails to meet the enablement requirement for the following reasons:

The nature of the invention: The claims invention is drawn to isolated polypeptides comprising 25 or more contiguous amino acids of SEQ ID NO:2. The specification teaches that the polypeptides of SEQ ID NO:2 are caspase recruitment domain proteins and designates them "CARD-14".

The state of the prior art and the predictability or lack thereof in the art: Enablement must be provided by the specification unless it is well known in the art. In re Buchner 18 USPQ 2d 1331 (Fed. Cir. 1991). The art teaches that the caspases are a family of proteases that are the central component of the cellular machinery of apoptosis (see Thornberry et al., Science, 281:1312-1316, the abstract). Thornberry et al. teach that the ability to administer caspases for therapeutic benefit is not within the realm of present day medicine, but that extensive research and development is needed to better understand the role of the caspases and to determine whether they can be used as therapeutics (see page 1316).

The amount of direction or guidance present and the presence or absence of working examples: Given the teachings found in the art that therapeutic efficacy for any of the caspases has not yet been determined or developed, detailed guidance is required in the specification to enable one of skill in the art to be able to use the claimed polypeptides. This guidance is absent. The specification contains only a vague disclosure that various diseases associated with apoptosis or with inflammation can be modulated by therapeutic administration of CARD-14 polypeptides (see page 5, line 12, through page 6, line 32). There is no guidance as to how to administer any CARD-14 polypeptides for treatment of any specific disease. There are no working examples directed to administration of CARD-14 polypeptides for treatment of any disease.

The breadth of the claims and the quantity of experimentation needed: Given the teachings of unpredictability which are found in the art regarding the therapeutic efficacy of any of the CARD

Art Unit: 1642

proteins, and in the absence of sufficient guidance in applicant's disclosure to overcome the teachings of unpredictability which are found in the art, it would require undue experimentation by one of skill in the art to be able to use the claimed invention.

Conclusion

Claims 1-8 are free of the prior art.

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brenda Brumback whose telephone number is (703) 306-3220. If the examiner can not be reached, inquiries can be directed to Supervisory Patent Examiner Anthony Caputa whose telephone number is (703) 308-3995. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Examiner Brenda Brumback, Art Unit 1642 and should be marked "OFFICIAL" for entry into prosecution history or "DRAFT" for consideration by the examiner without entry. The Official FAX telephone number is (703) 872-9306 and the After Final FAX telephone number is (703) 872-9307. FAX machines will be available to receive transmissions 24 hours a day. In compliance with 1096 OG 30, the filing date accorded to each OFFICIAL fax transmission will be determined by the FAX machine's stamped date found on the last page of the transmission, unless that date is a Saturday, Sunday or Federal Holiday with the District of Columbia, in which case the OFFICIAL date of receipt will be the next business day.

BB July 11, 2002

> Brenda Brumback Primary Examiner

Hunda Humback